



# Thermal radiation

by [Isidoro Martínez](#)

(prepared during [coronavirus pandemic](#) confinement)

2020-March-25th

# Heat

- Temperature differences cause heat flows (note that heat is only defined at a given surface, and that adiabatic wall is a limit). Heat flows:
  - By [conduction](#) through solids. At a surface it is  $\vec{q} = -k\nabla T$ .
  - By [convection](#), i.e. by heat conduction within a fluid.  $\dot{q} = hA(T_w - T_\infty)$   
Normal use of bulb thermometers.
  - By [radiation](#) (i.e. electromagnetic coupling through vacuum or semi-transparent materials, instead of by material contact). It may disturb  $T_{\text{fluid}}$ -measure (needs shrouds; sky- $T$  frost...), but it allows non-contact thermometry, and it is crucial in [space](#). For a convex surface seeing only a large enclosure,  $\dot{q} = \varepsilon\sigma(T_w^4 - T_\infty^4)$ .
  - Radiation heat flow between two isothermal surfaces in vacuum,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  (in a general case where there are other surfaces participating), not only depends on  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  but on all other temperatures (incoming radiation to  $A_1$  may come from  $A_2$  by emission and by reflection from other surfaces). [Exercise P-13.31](#) illustrates that a net heat flow may exist between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  even with equal temperatures ( $T_1 = T_2$ ), contrary to the idea of heat transfer. Do not confuse thermal radiation ( $\varepsilon\sigma T^4$ ) with radiation heat transfer ( $\Delta T$ ).

# Radiation

- [Radiation](#) (Lat. *radius*, rod) may have thermal and non-thermal effects, and may be:
  - Immaterial (electromagnetic radiation, EMR): rays, waves, [photons](#).
  - Material (particle radiation): electrons,  $\alpha$ -rays,  $\beta$ -rays, [solar wind](#), neutrons.
- Thermal radiation is the EMR emitted by the thermal motion of electric dipoles (& multipoles) in matter, in the long field ( $L > \lambda = 10 \mu\text{m}$ ).
- All types of radiations (EMR and particulate) have thermal effects, but we focus on heat transfer by thermal radiation under vacuum.
  - We leave aside applications of thermal radiation to thermometry, thermal signature, remote sensing, lighting, telecommunications, power plants, propulsion, fire control, climate change, etc.
  - Radiation-matter interaction: emission, transmission (rays at speed  $c$ ), reflexion, refraction, directional dispersion, spectral dispersion, absorption, emission...


# Blackbody radiation

- BB-radiation is the EMR inside a cavity of an isothermal material, equal to that escaping through a small hole in the cavity, and characterised by its temperature  $T$  and Planck's spectral distribution of photon energies ( $E=h\nu=hc/\lambda$ ):

$$M_{\text{bb},\lambda} = \frac{2\pi hc^2}{\lambda^5 \left[ \exp\left(\frac{hc}{\lambda kT}\right) - 1 \right]} = \frac{A}{\lambda^5 \left[ \exp\left(\frac{B}{\lambda T}\right) - 1 \right]}$$


This Bose-Einstein distribution of photon frequencies, like Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of molecular speeds in a gas, are due to entropy maximization at equilibrium, for a given energy.

- EM-spectrum:



# Power

- Thermal radiation, like any EMR, is mainly characterised by power (total amount,  $\Phi$  [W], or flux, [W/m<sup>2</sup>]), and photon frequency ( $E=h\nu=hc/\lambda$ , where  $\nu$  is frequency and  $\lambda$  wavelength). Universal constants:  $h=6.6\cdot 10^{-34}$  J·s,  $c=3\cdot 10^8$  m/s,  $k=1.38\cdot 10^{-23}$  J/K.
- Planck's law has two corollaries:
  - Stefan-Boltzmann's law:  $\int_0^\infty M_{\text{bb},\lambda} d\lambda = M_{\text{bb}} = \sigma T^4$  ( $\sigma = 5.67\cdot 10^{-8}$  W/(m<sup>2</sup> · K<sup>4</sup>))
  - Wien's displacement law:  $dM_{\text{bb},\lambda}/d\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda|_{M_{\text{bb},\lambda,\text{max}}} = C/T$  ( $C = 0.0029$  m · K)
- Examples:
  - A body at about 5800 K (the Sun) emits 64 MW/m<sup>2</sup> in a spectral band around  $\lambda=0.5$  μm, with 98 % of it in  $\lambda=0.3..3$  μm (10 % UV, 40 % visible, 50 % IR).
  - Bodies around 300 K (all common objects) emit 300..1000 W/m<sup>2</sup> in a spectral band around  $\lambda=10$  μm.
  - Bodies at cryogenic temperatures, say  $T_{\text{b,H}_2}=20$  K, emit less than 10 mW/m<sup>2</sup> in a spectral band around  $\lambda=150$  μm. To evacuate 1 W from  $T_{\text{LH}_2}=20$  K to  $T_{\text{CBR}}=2.7$  K one needs a radiator of >100 m<sup>2</sup>.



[Fig. 1.](#) Solar irradiance spectrum above Earth's atmosphere (total 1361 W/m<sup>2</sup>, beam), and at the surface, subsolar, on a clear-sky day (900 W/m<sup>2</sup> beam and 90 W/m<sup>2</sup> diffuse).

## Thermal effects of non-thermal radiation

- Thermal radiation has a large spectral band, say  $10^{-7} < \lambda \text{ [m]} < 10^{-3}$ .
  - EMR of larger  $\lambda$  may have thermal effects (e.g. microwaves, at  $\lambda=0.12$  m,  $f=2.4$  GHz, heats polar molecules, and [susceptors](#)), but it is not thermal radiation (i.e. it is not emitted by thermal motion). Individual photons have so little energy that they must be detected in groups by means of relatively large antennas (proportional to  $\lambda$ ).
  - EMR of smaller  $\lambda$  may have thermal effects, but their interaction with matter is so energetic that its absorption not only yields thermal vibrations of molecules, but dissociation and ionization. Ionising radiation (EMR and particulate) usually has deleterious effects on living matter (and on electronics), but can also be advantageously used (e.g. X-ray in medicine).

# Radiance

- Source (emitter):

Emittance,  $M = d\Phi/dA$  [W/m<sup>2</sup>];  $M_{bb} = \sigma T^4$ .

Emissivity,  $\varepsilon = M/M_{bb}$ .

Radiance,  $L = d^2\Phi/(dA_{\perp}d\Omega)$  [W/(m<sup>2</sup>·sr)].




Fig. 2. Radiation magnitudes.

- Sink (detector, absorber):

Radiance,  $L = d^2\Phi/(dA_{\perp}d\Omega)$  [W/(m<sup>2</sup>·sr)].

Irradiance,  $E = d\Phi/dA$  [W/m<sup>2</sup>];  $E \equiv \int_{2\pi} L \cos \beta d\Omega$

Absorptance,  $\alpha_{\lambda,T,\Omega} \equiv L_{\lambda,T,\Omega,abs}/L_{\lambda,\Omega,incid}$ .

- Cosine law (geometric considerations)

Blackbody radiation escaping from a hole, issues with a cosine-law intensity to the normal.

Collimated radiation incident on a surface, varies as  $E = E_0 \cos \beta$  ( $\beta$  being zenith angle).




## Energy balances

- Reversibility of detailed equilibrium:  $\varepsilon_{\lambda,T,\Omega} = \alpha_{\lambda,T,\Omega}$  (Kirchoff's law).
  - Also  $\rho_{\lambda,T,\Omega,\Omega'} = \rho_{\lambda,T,\Omega',\Omega}$ .
- Balance at a surface:  $\alpha + \rho + \tau = 1$  (we will assume  $\tau = 0$ , opaque surfaces).
- Exitance (radiosity): total exiting radiation is emission plus reflexion:  $M = \varepsilon M_{bb} + \rho E$ .


## Directional models

- Diffuse model (Lambert): intensity [W/sr]  $\propto \cos\beta$ , for emission (like a blackbody), and for reflection.
  - Diffuse surfaces produce isotropic radiance, and then  $\Phi = \int M dA = \int \int L dA_{\perp} d\Omega \rightarrow M = \int L \cos\beta d\Omega = L \int \cos\beta 2\pi \sin\beta d\beta = \pi L$  (to be used in view factors).
- Others: specular, retroreflective, combined. Transparent, translucent.



## Spectral model (two bands)

- Solar band ( $\lambda = 0.3..3 \mu\text{m}$ ; only  $\alpha$  is of interest), &
  - Far-infrared band, FIR, ( $\lambda = 3..30 \mu\text{m}$ , where we assume  $\alpha_{FIR} = \varepsilon$ ).
- Notice that, in general  $\varepsilon \neq \alpha$ . At  $T < 50 \text{ K}$  emissivity decreases a lot.  
 Notice that ISO 20473-2007, defines FIR for  $\lambda = 50..1000 \mu\text{m}$ ,  
 but we prefer here to center NIR-, MIR- & FIR-bands in atmospheric windows.



## Thermo-optical properties (at beginning of life, BOL)

<u>Surface</u>	<u>Solar absorpt., <math>\alpha</math></u>	<u>Emissivity, <math>\epsilon</math></u>	<u><math>\alpha/\epsilon</math></u>
Aluminium anodised	0.20	0.60	0.33
Aluminium anodised ISS walls	0.49	0.85	0.58
Aluminised kapton (al. inside)	0.40	0.80	0.50
Aluminised kapton (al. outside)	0.15	0.05	3.0
Beta cloth	0.30	0.85	0.35
Black paint (insides)	0.90	0.90	1.00
GFRP (solar panels, structures)	0.85	0.85	1.00
Goldised kapton (gold outside)	0.25	0.02	12
MLI (back aluminised kapton)	0.30	0.60	0.50
OSR (radiators)	0.08	0.80	0.10
Silver paint (electrically cond.)	0.35	0.45	0.78
Solar cells	0.75	0.75	1.00
Titanium tiodised (rockets)	0.60	0.60	1.00
White paint (antenna)	0.20	0.85	0.24
White Z-93 in ISS radiators	0.15	0.91	0.16

## Lumped network model (LNM)

- Discretization of the system in isothermal surface elements.
- General energy balance, and thermal balance (assuming  $E = E_{th} + E_{eke} + E_{EM}$ ):

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \dot{W} + \dot{Q} + \sum \dot{m}_e h_e = \frac{dE_{ele}}{dt} + \frac{dE_{th}}{dt} = \dot{W}_{EM} + \dot{W}_{ele} + \dot{Q}_{cond} + \dot{Q}_{conv} + \dot{Q}_{rad}$$

$$\frac{dE_{ele}}{dt} = \left( \dot{W}_{EM,in} - \dot{W}_{EM,out} - \dot{W}_{EM,dis} \right) + \left( \dot{W}_{ele,in} - \dot{W}_{ele,out} - \dot{W}_{ele,dis} \right)$$

$$C \frac{dT}{dt} = \dot{W}_{em,dis} + \dot{W}_{ele,dis} + \dot{Q}_{cond} + \dot{Q}_{conv} + \dot{Q}_{rad} \stackrel{\dot{m}=0}{=} \dot{W}_{dis} + \dot{Q}_{cond} + \dot{Q}_{rad,net,int} + \dot{Q}_{rad,net,ext}$$

where 'rad,int' refers to spacecraft nodes, and 'rad,ext' to external exchanges (with the Sun, planet or moon, and background space).

## Radiation heat transfer

In nodal equations, thermal capacity,  $C$ , is data,  $\dot{W}_{\text{dis}}(t)$  is known (from operational data), conductive couplings are computed as:

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{cond},i} = \sum_{j=1}^{j \neq i} G_{ij} (T_j - T_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{j \neq i} \frac{k_{ij} A_{ij}}{L_{ij}} (T_j - T_i)$$

internal radiative couplings, in the case of blackbody surfaces, are:

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{rad,int},i} = \sum_{j=1}^N R_{ij} (T_j^4 - T_i^4) = \sum_{j=1}^N A_i F_{ij} \sigma (T_j^4 - T_i^4)$$

where  $F_{ij}$  are view factors, later explained, as well as the extension to non-blackbody surfaces), and the external radiative couplings:

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{rad,ext},i} = \dot{Q}_{\text{s},i} + \dot{Q}_{\text{a},i} + \dot{Q}_{\text{p},i} - \dot{Q}_{\infty,i}$$

## View factors

- The [view factor](#)  $F_{12}$  is the fraction of energy exiting an isothermal, opaque, and diffuse surface 1 (by emission or reflection), that directly impinges on surface 2 (to be absorbed, reflected, or transmitted).
- Assuming they see each other ( $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi/2$ ), the power reaching  $dA_2$  from  $dA_1$  is the product of its radiance  $L_1 = M_1/\pi$ , times the perpendicular area  $dA_{1\perp}$ , times the solid angle subtended by  $dA_2$ ,  $d\Omega_{12}$ ; i.e.  $d^2\Phi_{12} = L_1 dA_{1\perp} d\Omega_{12} = L_1 (dA_1 \cos(\beta_1)) dA_2 \cos(\beta_2) / r_{12}^2$ , hence:

$$dF_{12} \equiv \frac{d^2\Phi_{12}}{M_1 dA_1} = \frac{L_1 d\Omega_{12} dA_1 \cos(\beta_1)}{M_1 dA_1} = \frac{\cos(\beta_1)}{\pi} d\Omega_{12} = \frac{\cos(\beta_1)}{\pi} \frac{dA_2 \cos(\beta_2)}{r_{12}^2} = \frac{\cos(\beta_1) \cos(\beta_2)}{\pi r_{12}^2} dA_2$$

$$F_{12} = \frac{1}{A_1} \int_{A_1} \left( \int_{A_2} \frac{\cos \beta_1 \cos \beta_2}{\pi r_{12}^2} dA_2 \right) dA_1$$




Fig. 3. Geometry for view-factor definition.

# View factor algebra

When considering all the surfaces under sight from a given one in an enclosure, the following general relations apply:

- Bounding. View factors are bounded to  $0 \leq F_{ij} \leq 1$  by definition.
- Closeness. Summing up all view factors from a given surface  $i$  gives unity,  $\sum F_{ij} = 1$ .
- Reciprocity.  $A_i F_{ij} = A_j F_{ji}$ .
- Distribution. When two target surfaces ( $j$  and  $k$ ) are considered at once,  $F_{i,j+k} = F_{ij} + F_{ik}$ .
- Composition. Based on reciprocity and distribution,  $F_{i+j,k} = (A_i F_{ik} + A_j F_{jk}) / (A_i + A_j)$ .

Notice that  $F_{12}$  is proportional to  $A_2$  but not to  $A_1$ . Try a composition [exercise](#).

Areas need to be clearly identified (e.g. a plate of 1 m in side may be 1 m<sup>2</sup> or 2 m<sup>2</sup>).

For an enclosure formed by  $N$  surfaces, there are  $N^2$  view factors (each surface with all the others and itself). But only  $N(N-1)/2$  of them are independent.

## The crossed-string method for 2D view factors

- The view factor between two infinitely-long bands, 1 and 2, of cross-section length  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  (Fig. 4), can be computed by the simple [crossed-string method](#) (lengths of tense ropes):

$$F_{12} = \frac{L_4 + L_5 - L_3 - L_6}{2L_1} = \frac{\sum \text{crossed strings} - \sum \text{uncrossed strings}}{2 \times (\text{source string})}$$





Fig. 4. Sketch of crossed string method (notice that string length must include curved segments).

## Examples of view factors



- **2D**, e.g. between two concentric hemi-cylinders of radii  $R_1$  and  $R_2 > R_1$ .

$$F_{12} = \frac{L_{1'2''} + L_{1''2'} - L_{1'2'} - L_{1''2''}}{2L_{1'1''}} = \frac{2L_{1''2'} - 2L_{1''2''}}{2L_{1'1''}} = \frac{L_{1''2'} - L_{1''2''}}{L_{1'1''}} =$$

$$= \frac{\left( \sqrt{R_2^2 - R_1^2} + \left( \pi - \arccos \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right) R_1 \right) - (R_2 - R_1)}{\pi R_1} \stackrel{R_2=2R_1}{=} \frac{\left( \sqrt{3} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) - 1}{\pi} = 0.90$$



- **3D**, e.g. sphere of radius  $R_1$  to concentric hemisphere of radius  $R_2 > R_1$  ([tables](#)).

Case	View factor	Plot
From a sphere of radius $R_1$ to a larger concentric hemisphere of radius $R_2 > R_1$ , with $R \equiv R_2/R_1 > 1$ . Let the enclosure be '3'. 	$F_{12}=1/2, F_{13}=1/2, F_{21}=1/R^2,$ $F_{23}=1-F_{21}-F_{22}, F_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1-\rho}{R^2} \right)$ with $\rho = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \sqrt{R^2 - 1} - (R^2 - 2) \arcsin \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \right]$ (e.g. for $R=2, F_{12}=1/2, F_{21}=1/4, F_{13}=1/2,$ $F_{23}=0.34, F_{22}=0.41$ )	




# Exitance method

- It solves the radiative heat transfer between gray surfaces in the lumped network model.
- Besides isothermal nodes, it requires the surfaces to be opaque, diffuse (for emission and reflection), and in vacuum (or non-absorbing media). Only the FIR spectral band is considered (solar inputs are dealt with separately). For more general radiative exchanges, a Monte Carlo statistical approach must be followed (like in ESATAN).
- The driving force for radiative exchange is exitance, that for FIR is,  
 $M = \varepsilon M_{\text{bb}} + \rho E = \varepsilon M_{\text{bb}} + (1 - \alpha) E = \varepsilon M_{\text{bb}} + (1 - \varepsilon) E.$
- The energy flow and resistance between nodes is:

$$\dot{Q}_{i,\text{rad}} = \sum_j \dot{Q}_{i \leftarrow j, \text{rad}} = \sum_j (\Phi_{j \rightarrow i} - \Phi_{i \rightarrow j}) = \sum_j (M_j A_j F_{j,i} - M_i A_i F_{i,j}) = \sum_j (M_j A_i F_{i,j} - M_i A_i F_{i,j}) = \sum_j \frac{M_j - M_i}{\frac{1}{A_i F_{i,j}}}$$

## Exitance method (cont.)

The relation between exitance,  $M$ , and temperature,  $T$  (or, better, blackbody emittance,  $M_{bb} = \sigma T^4$ ) is:



$$\dot{Q}_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{int.} \\ = \alpha_{\text{IR},1} A_1 E_1 - \varepsilon_1 A_1 M_{1,bb} = \alpha_{\text{IR},1} A_1 E_1 - \varepsilon_1 A_1 \sigma T_1^4 \\ \text{ext.} \\ = A_1 E_1 - A_1 M_1 = A_1 E_1 - \left( \varepsilon_1 A_1 \sigma T_1^4 + (1 - \alpha_{\text{IR},1}) A_1 E_1 \right) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{IR},1} = \varepsilon_1} \dot{Q}_1 = \frac{M_1 - M_{1,bb}}{\frac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 A_1}}$$

hence, one finally arrives at a set of triple nodal equations with the three unknowns  $\dot{Q}_{i,\text{rad}}$ ,  $M_i$ , and  $M_{i,bb}$  (or  $T_i$ ):

$$\dot{Q}_{i,\text{rad}} = \frac{M_i - M_{i,bb}}{\frac{1 - \varepsilon_i}{A_i \varepsilon_i}} = \sum_j \frac{M_j - M_i}{\frac{1}{A_i F_{ij}}} = C_i \frac{dT_i}{dt} - \dot{Q}_{i,\text{cond}} - \dot{W}_{i,\text{dis}}$$

Try [Exercise P-13.50](#) & [Exercise P-13.51](#)

## Exitance method (cont.)

In the special case of just two nodes in the enclosure, there is an explicit solution:

$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{\sigma T_2^4 - \sigma T_1^4}{\frac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 A_1} + \frac{1}{A_1 F_{12}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2 A_2}}$$

which, if one is convex ( $F_{12}=1$ ), reduces to:

In the simple case of two parallel surfaces with equal emissivity, it is:

Try [Exercise P-13.61](#) & Exercise [P-13.63](#).


$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{A_1 \varepsilon_1 \sigma (T_2^4 - T_1^4)}{1 + \frac{A_1 \varepsilon_1 (1 - \varepsilon_2)}{A_2 \varepsilon_2}}$$
$$\dot{Q}_{12} = \frac{A \varepsilon \sigma (T_2^4 - T_1^4)}{2 - \varepsilon}$$

## External radiation exchanges

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{rad,ext},i} = \dot{Q}_{s,i} + \dot{Q}_{a,i} + \dot{Q}_{p,i} - \dot{Q}_{\infty,i}$$

- **Solar** direct beam,  $\dot{Q}_{s,i}$ , is partially absorbed, but photovoltaic production must be subtracted to have just the thermal effect. If  $\eta$  is the electrical efficiency, and only a fraction  $F_{pq}$  of the area is covered with solar cells, thermal absorption is:


$$\dot{Q}_{s,i} = (\alpha_i - \eta_i F_{pq}) A_{i,\text{fr}} E F_{\phi_{es}\phi_{ee}} = \alpha_{i,\text{th}} A_{i,\text{fr}} E F_{\phi_{es}\phi_{ee}}$$



where  $A_{\text{fr}}$  is Sun's facing frontal area, and the last factor,  $0 < F < 1$ , is to take account of eclipses.

- **Albedo**, i.e. solar indirect, reflected in other bodies. **Bond**-albedo is implied (i.e. total hemispherical reflection fraction of incident solar radiation), not geometric-albedo (which is normal reflectance, and may be  $> 1$ ). Maximum albedo is at noon, and it is usually approximated as a cosine of angular position in orbit,  $\phi$ . In terms of the orbit solar angle  $\beta$ , albedo absorption is:

$$\dot{Q}_{a,i} = \dot{Q}_{a,i,0} \cos \beta F_{\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}} \quad \dot{Q}_{a,i,0} = \alpha_{i,\text{th}} A_i F_{ip} \rho_p E$$



where  $F_{ip}$  is the view factor from surface  $A_i$  to the planet,  $\rho_p$  is planet albedo, and  $E$  is solar irradiance. See an [exercise](#) with solar reflection.

- **Planet** infrared exchange, and **Cosmic-Background** infrared exchange. (next.)

## Planet infrared exchange, and Cosmic-Background infrared exchange

Two approaches may be followed (with the same result):

- If the planet (or moon) term is considered to account only for the gross infrared input ([OLR](#)), then the infrared output to cosmic-background must include the planet view factor, i.e.:

$$\dot{Q}_{p,i} = \varepsilon_i A_i F_{ip} \varepsilon_p \sigma T_p^4 \quad \& \quad \dot{Q}_{\infty,i} = \varepsilon_i A_i (F_{i\infty} + F_{ip}) \sigma T_i^4$$

- If the planet (or moon) term is considered to account for the net infrared input, then the infrared output to cosmic-background must not include the planet view factor, i.e.:

$$\dot{Q}_{p,i} = \varepsilon_i A_i F_{ip} (\varepsilon_p \sigma T_p^4 - \sigma T_i^4) \quad \& \quad \dot{Q}_{\infty,i} = \varepsilon_i A_i F_{i\infty} \sigma T_i^4$$

Planets with atmosphere appear larger (e.g.  $R_{\text{Earth}} = 6371 \text{ km} \rightarrow R_{\text{eff,rad}} = 6390 \text{ km}$ ).




[Planet and moon properties](#), aside. Question: what is larger at LEO,  $\dot{Q}_{\text{planet}}$  or  $\dot{Q}_{\text{albedo}}$  ?

# Spacecraft missions and thermal effects

## Mission phases. Type of payloads. Type of orbits:

- LEO.  $H=250..1200$  km,  $T_o=89..109$  min (max.eclipse 34..37 min). Try [Exercise P-13.72](#).
  - SSO, near polar LEO with orbital plane ( $i=98..102^\circ$ ) moves keeping an invariant position relative to Sun.
- MEO,  $H=20\ 000..23\ 000$  km,  $T_o=12..14$  h (max.eclipse 55..58 min).
- GEO,  $H=35\ 790$  km,  $T_o=23,9$  h (max.eclipse 70 min, penumbra 2+2 min).
- Halo. Sun-Earth halo orbits, at  $R_{SS}/R_{SE}=1\pm 0.01$ , have most stable thermal environment. SEL2 is slightly beyond Earth's umbra.
- Transfer (e.g. to GEO, to the Moon, to Mars, to the Sun...). Try [Exercise P-13.66](#).

Orbit projection to better analyse thermal inputs: a) view from above orbital plane, b) view from above satellite (best for  $\beta$ -angle), c) view from the Sun.  $\beta$  is the orbit-plane inclination to Sun rays.



# Spacecraft thermal modelling

Thermal control is needed to avoid damaging too-hot or too-cold temperatures in all parts at every moment. Basically, to keep a delicate balance between the deep-freeze of empty-space and the Sun's blazing heat.

Thermal insulation, mainly based on multilayer radiation shields, is most used, but perfect isolation is impossible and in many cases undesirable (internal heat must be evacuated).

Most thermal problems occur under transient conditions associated to eclipse, manoeuvres, attitude change, payload operation, etc. However:

- Transient problems are complicated, and spacecraft thermal control design usually analyse only two steady-state cases:
  - Worst hot case; e.g. in LEO  $E=1361+50 \text{ W/m}^2$ , albedo 30+5 %, OLR 240-30  $\text{W/m}^2$  (not all worst)., plus a 10 % step during say 10 min. Hottest operational case (HOC) is used to dimension radiators.
  - Worst cold case; e.g. in LEO  $E=1361-50 \text{ W/m}^2$ , albedo 30-5 %, OLR 240+30  $\text{W/m}^2$  (not all worst), minus a 10 % step during say 10 min. Coldest operational case (COC) is used to dimension heaters.
- An average orbital steady-state with the orbit-averaged inputs, although not a design limitation, provides a first guide to find the orbit-averaged temperature.
- Transients can be analysed solving the thermal balance with the temporal functions (usually in angular variables) for solar-direc, albedo, and power dissipation.

[Back to Spacecraft Thermal Control.](#)